UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,637	12/02/2003	Herve Michaud	2003-1732A	2003	
	10/724,637 12/02/2003 Herve Michaud	EXAMINER			
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800		YEE, DE	YEE, DEBORAH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1742		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/14/2007	РАГ	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/724,637	MICHAUD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Deborah Yee	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON.  timely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ja</u>	anuary 2007.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6 to 13 and 15 to 17</u> is/are pending	in the application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 6 to 13 and 15 to 17</u> is/are rejected					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r alastian requirement				
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 110/	(a) (d) or (f)			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(	(a)-(d) or (i).			
	n have been received				
		ation No.			
	• •				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ved in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	vea.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date I Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
5. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac	tion Summary F	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20070223			

Application/Control Number: 10/724,637 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1-03-2007 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites 800 daN and 1200daN. The term "da" is indefinite and needs to be clearly defined. Perhaps "da" should be –deci---.

Art Unit: 1742

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1,6 to 13, and 15 to 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bellus et al (US Patent 5,820,706) in view of Bone (US Patent 6,786,073) or Vodopyanov et al (US Patent 6,094,956).
- 7. Bellus in claims 1 to 14 of columns 5 to 8 and lines 53 to 56 of column 5 discloses forged bainitic steel alloy parts having an analogous composition which can be fabricated for automobile components such as shafts (equivalent to crankshaft), and are produced in essentially the same manner as claimed by applicant.
- 8. More specifically, Bellus steel example 2 on lines 1 to 25 of column 5 meets the composition recited by the claims except does not contain Nb, S, Ca, Tem Se, Bi and/or Pb. These elements, however, are taught as optional alloying elements on lines 25 to 40 of Bellus in claim 1 of column 6; and hence would be obvious to incorporate to example 2. Also prior art steel example 2 is processed by hot forging at 1270 to 1040C (encompasses claimed forging temperature range of 1100 to 1300C); force air cooling at 2.6C/sec down to 400C to form bainite (within the claimed range of less than or equal to 3C/s in the range of 600 to 300C).

Art Unit: 1742

9. Even though machining is not disclosed, such would be obvious to incorporate since other analogous examples teach machining (see for example, lines 35 –36, column 5).

Page 4

- 10. Even though deep rolling the forged part at locations that are to be subjected to particularly high levels of stress (fillets) to generate high compressive stresses of 800 to 1200 daN as recited by claims 1 and 17 is not taught by Bellus, such would not be a patentable difference. Note that Bone on lines 12 to 20 in column 1 and Vodopyanov on lines 16 to 28 in column 1 teach that it is a conventional practice in the metallurgical art to subject crankshafts in the fillet area to deep rolling in order to generate residual compressive stress to thereby improve fatigue strength. Since improved fatigue strength would be desired and sought for the automotive shaft taught by Bellus, then it would be obvious modification well within the skill of the artisan to incorporate a deep rolling step to the prior art process to produce no more than the known and expected effect from such additional process step. Moreover, the deep rolling applied load between 800 to 1200daN as recited by claim 1 would be a matter of choice and routine optimization well within the skill of the artisan to determine depending on the desired amount of residual compressive stress sought.
- 11. Prior art on lines 1 to 27 of column 2 discloses alloying constituents with wt% ranges that overlap or closely approximate those recited by claims 6 to 12.
- 12. Prior art claim 9 in column 7 discloses annealing after forging at 150C to 650C which overlaps with applicant's annealing range of 300 to 500C recited by claim 13.

Art Unit: 1742

Page 5

Also prior art annealing can be performed for 1 hour, as shown example 2 on line 15 of column 5 within the claimed time range of 1 to 3 hours.

- 13. Note that the overlap or close approximation in alloying constituents or annealing temperature establishes a prima facie case of obviousness because it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to selected the claimed ranges from the broader disclosure of the prior art since the prior art has the same utility (crankshaft). See MPEP 2144.05.
- 14. Prior art on lines 52 to 56 of column 5 teaches forgings for automobile components such as shafts, which would broadly include crankshaft and hence meet claims 15 to 16.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-27211253. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday 6:00am-2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1742

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Deboren Yee Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

dy